

July 27, 2014 (#1451)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

**"By Following The Human Agenda
You Could Become Both Ma and Pa"**

© Alan Watt July 27, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - July 27, 2014 (Exempting Music and
Literary Quotes)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on July the 27th, 2014. I hope you're all doing well and not flying into blind panics at all the hyper news. Because we live in a hyper news society; it's part of your training. Constant fear, terror, worry, look to government to protect and defend you, and the cost is only that all your rights are taken away in the process. It works awfully well, doesn't it? And most folk do acquiesce to it and go along with, *well what else can they do, we need them to protect us against...* And they've got a whole host of countries and bad people that they can bring up to tell you who they're going to defend you against.

But this trick has been used for thousands of years. You wouldn't have an elite class, at all, in anywhere in the world, if early on certain families didn't catch on they could actually become the elite classes. How can you live better than everyone else, who's scratching a living, in any era in fact, how can you live better

than anyone else if you're going to bring home the same as everyone else, so many cabbages and lettuces or whatever it happens to be? The way that you live well is to live *off of* everybody else. And to do so you need a leisure class, you see, and to bring a leisure class in you need slavery to begin with. That's really how it starts. Because people don't voluntarily put themselves into slavery, not until you're trained to do so and then it becomes much easier; you think it's all quite normal, especially when they tell you you're still free.

But in ancient times big, big families, and families had, especially when they were in polygamist societies, they could have big families which were tribes eventually and they could go off conquering and taking over slaves, and the slaves were their wealth. Money comes from counting men, 'mon', you see; you find that in the Middle East. So nothing has really changed except our perceptions and our conditioning on how to perceive things have been changed. We're given our perceptions, you see, every day in fact, through all the newscasts that you get; they give you the conclusions you're supposed to arrive at, you see. And why work on it yourself when some expert has done it for you already? And it's become so easy. It never dawns on most folk to check into any story they possibly hear and find out the real whys and wherefores and who benefits, who gains, etc. Someone always gains out of it. Always.

In fact, I think never, to be honest with you, is ever a war carried out in any way whatsoever for a moral purpose. I don't think so. They might use that once in a while but it's completely non-true. But it will always be used as long as we fall for it. And the average soldier too, like Kissinger said, and Kissinger has said this in one of his own books too in fact and I've mentioned it many times. He said that the average soldier, **THE SOLDIER IS AN ANIMAL WHO IS USED FOR FOREIGN POLICY**. That's what he said. So that tells you how they think of the people they tell *you* to become, to protect or defend or go off to war for your country. That's what they really believe you are. He actually said, **DUMB STUPID ANIMALS WHO ARE USED FOR FOREIGN POLICY**.

And that's the psychopath talking there, you see, and we have been ruled by psychopaths for an awful long time. An awful long time. It's even worse since ancient times when some of the top psychopaths got together, the families, for arranging marriages because they like to arrange wealth transfers basically, amalgamations of families for wealth purposes. They could then have more slaves, cumulatively, etc. etc. and power over others. But eventually they had to always... If nothing happened much except slavery, the slaves would tend to get rather ticked off and maybe rebel, and it would fall apart. So they always had to find wars and skirmishes, or threats of wars to keep it all going. And through these wars the elite families always enrich themselves with more slaves, property, gold, silver, jewels and everything else you can imagine. It's quite interesting that really everything that's tangibly got value, according to those who rule, even today's money system, is technically useless for any other purpose; your emeralds and all the rest of it, and your diamonds and gold. You're better with some good steel or something like that that you can use and make a hinge or something practical and useful. But you see, nothing really is what it appears to be. And those who are in control of these things decide what things are going to be valuable and those things which are not.

I mentioned last week, I think, I was thinking about society in general, again, and

how down through the ages once established religion comes in, which always gets co-opted by the power wealth establishment and then they get a healthy symbiosis going together, which dominates the people and keeps them placid and happy and gives them a reason for the meaning of life and all that and the purpose of things. You find that the purpose of a religion changes into changing *you*. The whole point of religion really is to make everyone uniform in a certain moral code, in a way of living, in a particular place and people basically. Some are universal religions which take recruits in from all over. All religions have been universal at one time pretty well and some have retreated back into being simply for themselves; they are special, it's their God and they should dominate and so on. Other ones have, especially when they're failing, try to recruit more and more people in altogether to boost their numbers, which also boosts their confidence in their own belief system; the more numbers they get the more the story of whatever it happens to be must be true. That's how simple it is. Because authorized religions are always authorized by those in power. And when they become accepted by those in power they've lost their savor, as it would say in the New Testament, you've lost your revolutionary power when you're accepted by the ruling establishment, the powerful wealthy people, the overlords, etc.

But you find that it's been an ancient plan, always, to change humanity along someone's idea of thinking, or way of thinking, or direction of thinking as to how it should be done, changing the base material into gold you might say. And it's no different now today with the scientific age. In fact, it's accelerated through the scientific age as bioethics come into it, and eugenics come into it, all to do with supposed improvement of humanity, to suit someone's idea of the perfect society. There's no vote given out to the public on this kind of thing, never will be and never has been. It's simply the elite have got together and along with the elite they use academia. Because they're all trying to understand all of *you* all the time.

That's why the total information network has been ongoing for years, to get everyone's profile, an updated profile daily basically, on every single person, to see if their indoctrination is having a universal effect, to see if we're all changing and complying and updating the PC terms and using them and discarding the old bad terms, which used to be good terms, etc. etc., to see if it's working. Because it's to do with control. Everything eventually comes down to control. Truths are often used to start things off but they're soon taken over as I say by power establishment elites and the psychopathic type of course, for their own ends. So this is the unfortunate part of simply existing in any particular time or era.

Today it's far more perfected, the art of standardizing people and creating this homogenous people who all believe the same things, and say the same things, and watch the same shows, and watch the same movies, and get updated along with everyone else at the same time with the new terminology that they're giving you to keep repeating to yourself. Because the more you repeat things to yourself the more you start to believe it. George Orwell went through the process with his various vocabulary and doublethink, etc., the ability to hold two opposing views in your head at the same time, without breaking down. It was shown very well even in the movie version of that too, the ability to have those two opposing views. Today if certain topics come up in conversation, your Pavlovian conditioning will set you off from default into complying with what you're supposed to say, as opposed to what you might really think, and you'll even feel guilty about what you really think; it's a very simple technique of creating guilt in people. But you'll say

the right thing because your default position will kick in immediately; you've been trained that way.

So what I'm saying is, the sciences are so perfected today. And there's nothing you watch, nonfiction, fiction, or read a novel, that doesn't have all the updates in them. Because these novelists and writers get paid money by the Department of Culture – every country has one, from the government – and they pay to have all these things inserted, as we get updated into the standardized human being. And people will think, *well I'm different from everybody else*. But you're not really at all. You have all the same basic desires. It wouldn't matter what year you were born in, you have the same basic desires and needs and so on and drives. But you're really... Even those who have been promiscuous don't realize they have been *taught* to be promiscuous and have a free reign over what they think is their lives. It seems to be lots of choice out there but it's not really lots of choice. Because there's nothing that you can do in life that doesn't have a comeback upon you. For every action there is an equal and opposite reaction; that's a very true saying. And that goes along with everything that happens to be.

But if everyone's being promiscuous at the same time, how does that make you different? Especially when guys like Julian Huxley and Russell and other ones said they would create a society that would do this, and there would be no taboos whatsoever, as long as they didn't have offspring. You see. So it's kind of like someone constantly drinking, drinking, drinking, and what they're drinking wouldn't get past into their bloodstream or their body as a fluid to keep them alive. They're doing something that's a defunct, a defunct ending, if you know what I'm saying.

Academia is so vastly used today to train future leaders and bureaucrats and so on and scientists, who work together towards this common cause of changing all of us. All the anthropological associations, and you have your sociological associations and on and on it goes, psychiatric, psychological, various other institutions all working towards creating the *perfect* individual. But what do they mean by the perfect individual? Of course in academia they bring in evolution, this strange phenomenon which you would need an awful lot of faith to believe it – because it is a religion. But they bring in evolution, that it's somehow their destiny to train mankind and to alter mankind, physically as well, down the way, to make the perfect human beings for society to have peace, you see, and to be compliant with each other, and compliant to their masters, because they will always give you masters.

Because those at the top believe that they should themselves remain unaffected by all the conditioning and all the genetic tampering that goes on, because THEY HAVE TO STEER PLANET EARTH AND MAKE DECISIONS, LIKE A WILD ANIMAL WITH ALL OF ITS FACULTIES WORKING. Whereas you will not need that because the State will be rearing you from birth to death and training you, whether you know it or not, and they have been, and they will give you your values and so on, and you won't have to make decisions. They'll give you a choice of maybe five things, all approved to do, and you go and do it. You think you're making your own choice but you're not, you're actually limited to go into those five avenues. And the big boys achieve their goal. Because the state is making all the big decisions for you, you see, so you don't need your own wildness, your own survival capabilities intact. They want to blunt them and make you domesticated.

As they have the big, big plan of course to go into trans-humanism and then bring out new kinds of functioning human beings, which are genetically created from scratch basically, like **Brave New World**. You can go back into the 30s as I say when they wrote about this stuff, and the world meetings they had through the League of Nations, it ramped it up during World War II because of the war itself, saying, *see, see we can't allow people just to do what they want*. Well the people *don't* do what they want, they do what they're told. And when you get drafted to go off in a war, you go off and fight it or you go to prison; that's your democratic choice, right. So you find that people really are given no choice at all to do things; there's always a repercussion if you say no to the demand that governments make upon you. And you must also believe in the reasons for the wars, like World War II, that you're given and you must also believe all the standardized versions that come out immediately afterwards. It's the same with everything else that happens in the world, the 9/11 event and so on, you must believe the authorized versions or you're a kook, they say.

So we live in a world of mass manipulation. Just going through all the talks I've given on Bernays, the so-called father of marketing, how to make people buy anything at all, from toothpaste to cars to whatever, or even to get women to smoke or drink beer, etc., in bars. He's the father of it supposedly because he knew through mass persuasion, the right kind of persuasion, supposedly using Freudian psychology, how to get to the basic instincts and hyper-sensitize them and hyper-drive them, into making them buy certain things that were going to make you happy. And that's still used today in advertising; they sell you dreams and fantasy. They tell you nothing basically about the quality of the product or even how long the transmission is going to last in a car, or guaranteed, etc. You're sold the fantasy. Everything else out there on television is the same thing, you're getting sold fantasy, including the news by the way.

Going back to the 40s, 50s and 60s you have no idea how many world meetings they had and many of them were held especially in the United States. They had the big tax base. They weren't hurt so much at the time with the cost of World War II, where other countries were totally in the hole, to the banks of course who profited from the wars. You find that they were concerned about the future, *too many people, overpopulation, lack of resources, food*, etc. So the 60s were an immense time for governments and departments to merge completely with academia in the various social sciences, and psychological sciences, on how to *handle* people, how to manipulate them even more, to make them the perfect citizens, as perfect as could be, good taxpayers who would go home and watch their TVs at night and do what they were told to do basically. And when it came to war they'd join up immediately and do what they're told and go off and get killed. And the rest of course would be left to pay off the debts incurred during the wars.

So this is the perfect system they wanted to bring in. That's when they got into schooling in a very big way of course, was to rear them from childhood in the schools - using the techniques worked out by Bertrand Russell in the 1930s through experimental schools - so that the parent would simply be the caretaker, the economic provider of the child while the state, and also with the use of the media and entertainment, would give the new values to the children. And that was very successfully carried out too. So academia is really up there in all of this. And I've always found that birds of a feather fly together. You find that the

psychopathic types can certainly work together. It's not true that they cannot. They can work together very well on a certain level, if they're getting their personal needs and interests met, and their craving for power and status and approval etc. is all being met.

They can work together in combinations, or international corporations; they can do very little actual work as such but they're figureheads to an extent. They're very glib, they're likable and presentable, and they can put things across in a way that they know the people will accept it and follow it too. So don't ever think that psychopaths don't get up there. They really *do* get up there, into politics, and into the heads of big cutthroat organizations on Wall Street, places like that. They *thrive* in those places where they can stab each other as they claw their way up to the top. Once at the top, they're approached by even higher psychopaths, who formed their clubs and say, *if you want to go any higher or even continue to exist, do what we tell you or you won't be around for very long*. And they get the message loud and clear and they can conform and comply, etc.

Other ones work in academia and various sciences, all to do with repeating experiments on animals, and then on humans of course, in the psychological areas, to see how we behave, how they can change behavior and so on and so on. A lot of that goes on and yet you know nothing about it. You're constantly being experimented upon. The CIA of course is a big part of this, believe it or not. What I'm trying to tell you is, nothing that's out there is really what it's there for, what you've been trained to believe it's there for, including the CIA. The CIA when they were doing the MK Ultra, and other allied programs at the same time, they hired thousands and thousands of prostitutes, over many years, 20, 25, 30 years, and trained them to an extent, to give certain drugs and test drugs out on Johns that they'd bring home to their paid-for apartments, paid for by the taxpayers of America; and they were studied under cameras with the effects of the drugs and so on. But they also wanted to see if the hypersexualization of the younger generations, over that long span, was having a different effect too, and of course they were intensely interested in seeing that it was all working awfully well.

So we're constantly being experimented upon. You are even if you think you're not. On the Internet you certainly are with the memes and themes that are put out there for you to follow. The nudges you get constantly, when you look up some news article, *oh others also looked at this*. You're being guided and guided and guided to read things which will leave a conclusion in your mind about a particular topic, or topics, all the time. This is a big, big thing today on your ongoing training. I used to wonder what they meant in the old communist and socialist organizations in Britain when they talked about 'lifelong training'. And this is what they meant. For your entire life you will constantly be retrained, upgraded and upgraded, with new thoughts, new opinions, as you discard the old opinions and you're given the new PC ones that your masters have decreed are the ones for you today. It's quite fascinating when you stop and think about it all.

But to show you how academia and the psychopathic types got into it, and remember, psychopaths have different traits. Some of them are awfully, awfully likable. That's how they get through life, people never *suspect* them of having psychopathic traits at all. And it isn't until long after things are over they look back and say, *God that person manipulated me so darned easy, because they were so charming*, or whatever it happened to be. The same with gurus as well. Gurus

can do the same thing, they can see the weaknesses in everybody, immediately, and exploit it for their own ends. Well, the psychopath is a master at that. They can be well-known people in public or on television and so on and so on.

Anyway, getting back to one example, and this is from a book; I've mentioned this book some years back. It was written in the 1960s, I think '68 it was, by Roderick Gorney M.D.. He was into psychology, psychiatry, etc. etc. He said that in the writing of his book, the words of his old mentor came back to him where he said that the M.D. is the true psychiatrist because the M.D. sees the general population more so than the psychiatrist, where patients are sent to him, more select patients, but the M.D. practices this form in his office as well, but he's trying to do it through drugs... altering behavior, not just helping to allay illnesses. I don't say *cure* illnesses because allopathic medicine is not in the business of curing an illness; they want to treat symptoms for as long as you live, because it's more profitable that way; that's why it was set up this way in fact. But the GP also is able to give out psychiatric drugs today, and tranquilizers and things too, which some do with rapidity and they're rather copious in their doses too.

But the fact is, they enjoy experimenting. This little power that they feel that they have, they take for granted now and they love to experiment on the general public. They feel a sense of power when they can change the behavior in someone. And never forget that. It's so far removed from the old days of the Hippocratic Oath you can never get back to it in fact, and very few folk, especially the doctors, ever read the Hippocratic Oath and what it talks about. DO NO HARM is one of the prime motifs of it basically, the mottos, do no harm, and so on. And plus, confidentiality of patients. At that time a doctor was like a priest to his patient, whatever happened there was totally secret between the patient and the doctor. Today that's out the window, with everything's on the computer, the doctors put it up, especially in nationalized health countries, and all the person's data is added to all their other data so that the government can know all about you.

Now, this Roderick Gorney that wrote this book that's called **The Human Agenda**, he said...

This is the most marvelous moment of man to be alive. (Alan: You see, and it is for these kind because it's exciting opportunities for the psychopathic types. He says...) Neither blindness nor optimism underlies this judgment. For the first time in our 2 million or so more years we have the possibility of enough to go around. (Alan: That was a big thing in the 60s they were saying, OH THERE REALLY IS ENOUGH TO GO AROUND AT THE MOMENT, BUT... Then they get into the 'buts', you see, and I'll get into the 'buts' later. That's how you get an audience around you, you tell them what they want to hear, don't you, the same with these authors, there's enough to go around.)

Although destitution still torments us, scarcity could be replaced at last by abundance as the central condition of human life. *(Alan: That sounds wonderful. Wouldn't you want to go and hear a guy like that? Lots of folk would, you see. These are the groupie types that run from guru to the next guru, you see. He says...)*

The new condition promises more than a sufficiency of things, although we may shortsightedly reject the opportunity for the first time, the future

promises that all human beings may look forward to a fully human life, the right to explore, to be and to become potentially whatever they desire. *(Alan: Sounds wonderful doesn't it? That's how these guys catch you, at the beginning, to make sure that you'll follow them; they give you what you want to hear... Santa Claus has come to town, you see. He says...)*

Yet everywhere there is spreading fear that man cannot survive the byproducts of abundance. I believe that this blight of hope springs from two seemingly contradictory causes, *(Alan: Number one is...)* concerned that we do not have the material resources or cooperativeness to neutralize in time such hazards as war, overpopulation, poverty, pollution and injustice, *(Alan: Number two...)* concern that we do have these capabilities and will use them and therefore will soon find ourselves living in a world in which our preferences, plans and goals which grow out of scarcity, in effect our values, will have become obsolete.

(Alan: He then goes on to say things which others have said before him...) Whereas we are conscious of our fear of annihilation, most of us as yet are unconscious of our fear that we may survive. This anxiety I believe to be the major obstacle to resolution of the threats that imperil us. Powerful people among us are so terrorized unconsciously at finding themselves cast adrift in paradise without a map, without the guiding star of scarcity, to tell them what is right or wrong, good or bad, worthwhile or worth doing basically. That they again unconsciously would prefer extinction. Dedicated to preserving yesterday they are tempted to wipe out the experiment of man and throw away the victory that belongs to us all.

Then he goes on long rambles about his belief in evolution and where man's need comes from, from scarcity in itself, etc. He doesn't go into the fact that too much of anything can have a similar neurotic effect as well. And we see that definitely in ruling families who have interbred so much, as well, they want more and more power, they're terrified of losing it, and terrified of losing the chamber maids that, you know, clean their bum after going to the toilet, things like that. So he omits so much that means so much to know. It's by omission that they guide you along a path so that you will agree with him, himself, the author. Because after his beginning of this, enough to go around, blah-blah-blah, he will go into eugenics, depopulation, and the culling off of the wrong kinds of people and that kind of thing. It always comes down to who should survive, doesn't it? Doesn't it always? And then they'd have this wonderful utopia, of the right kind of people that should be allowed to survive. That was the same idea as socialism. I've mentioned before and I've played it on the air from an old, old movie reel, of George Bernard Shaw, cofounder of the Fabian Society, for socialism, which was a great pal of the Communists actually; in fact, they even said THEY HAD A DIRECT LINK TO THE DESK AT THE KREMLIN. But Shaw said that, WHEN WE ARE IN CHARGE, WHEN WE BECOME FULLY IN CHARGE YOU WILL ALL COME TO US AND YOU WILL ASK US, AS TO WHY WE SHOULD KEEP YOU ALIVE. You're a cog in the machine in other words, for the greater good of all, etc. etc.

So he goes on to talk about man's adaptation, like the Darwinian theories of adaptation to situations for survival purposes. And we certainly do have that ability to adapt. But knowing this too and knowing the different processes or dynamics that go into making adaptation occur, remember these guys can

manipulate you into adapting to anything. Hollywood knows that awfully well with its comedies; that's how they get you to accept things which used to be awfully repugnant in the past, and now you'll sit and laugh at them, as they've intended you to. They can change the way you look at things and respond to things and so on. If they can do it with basic sexual things they can do it with anything that comes along the pipe, believe you me.

Anyway, remember that nothing comes out of the mouths of psychiatrists and psychologists, especially when they belong to big organizations... And even though it tells you the different institutions he belongs to and so on, it doesn't tell you in this book of any governmental work that he is also involved in. And I guarantee you, he will definitely be involved in various organizations of government. Because government's got the biggest, biggest stake in finding out how to manipulate the peoples, en masse, to create the standardized human and to get us to accept things that perhaps we shouldn't accept at all.

In the section called Eugenics he says...

The more conservative in terms of current values is called "negative eugenics." It involves trying just to prevent defective conceptions. (*Alan: Now that's what it was supposed to be, but there's a much bigger goal behind it all, as you know, abortion is abortion, and now it's simply in the way, it's a nuisance. It's the product of an act, generally that someone agreed to participate in, and now they treat it like a disease, like pregnancy is a disease because the big boys don't want you to have so many children, or in fact, any at all, according to certain classes. But it says here that...*) It involves trying just to prevent defective conceptions. This can be done at present by persuading those who have a high likelihood of transmitting genetic defect on to their children not to reproduce. But that is difficult. A few years ago I consulted with a young mother who had watched her first child die slowly at the age of two from a genetic brain disease called amaurotic idiocy. The chance of another child sharing the same fate was estimated by a geneticist at one in four. After much conflict she decided to reject his counsel against having another child. She went all through the pregnancy and the first year and a half of the new child's life and dreaded that he too would begin to become ill when nearing two years of age. But she was lucky, he did not and all is well at the age of six, which means he does not have the dreaded defect. However, the chance of his being an unaffected carrier of the trait is 67%.

See, everything is justified now by percentages. Do you know there's whole areas of the sciences, of learning how, to do with creating percentages, to skew results? It's just astonishing, especially in advertising. They do studies and studies and studies, government does it all the time too, where by the use of carefully selected studies they can really skew the results and give you certain percentages, which can sound phenomenal. For instance, they can say 50% of those asked this question responded no and 50% responded yes. But what they might not have told you is that the study was based on two people who lived in the deep south of the US, something like that. This is how it's done. It's all conology, everything is when an agenda is behind it all. The same with percentages to do with defective children. Remember, under this guise today that medicine is pushed up to be a TOTAL authority over all of you... That's part of the reason, by the way... It's not just the big greed of the insurance companies that are getting the money for

Obamacare. That's one of the main reasons, to be a totally socialized country dominated with governmental departments and so on, healthcare is one of them. Because healthcare is mainly to do with the eliminating of inferior types before they get born. That's part of it. The second part of it too is mandatory inoculations, most of which are not there for the reasons they tell you that they're there for. We didn't have all these multiple cancers before they gave you the polio shot, for instance; but that's another story.

Anyway, he talks about different cases, etc. to do with not just aborting the children but sterilizing the ones who carry the particular genes that MAY or may not cause that problem, you see, down the road, to the offspring. And he says here that...

Defects can be transmitted by both sperm and egg. If the defect is from the father he can avoid passing it on by not fertilizing his wife himself. *(Alan: So he is advocating surrogates.)* Today the couple may still have a child by means of therapeutic insemination *(Alan: ...therapeutic insemination, like therapy, eh. [Alan chuckles.] How often do you go in for therapy for insemination, eh? Oh, ten times a week. But anyway...)* performed by a doctor using sperm from a donor. As yet, however, there are no reports of human offspring being reproduced by the reverse procedure of therapeutic ino-vulation of a donor egg, although the technique has proved successful in other mammals. *(Alan: Well, they've done it since this book was written, of course, as you well know.)*

Negative Eugenics

Negative eugenics could now be practiced with a minimum restriction of freedom by uniformly ruling out sperm donors with a history of genetic defect. It is likely that the community will step in to the area of genetic regulation by establishing required procedures at least for therapeutic insemination to protect parents and children produced by this technique. But eventually computerized techniques could give a complete record of each marriage license applicant's genetic code. *(Alan: And they most certainly, folks, they most certainly will. And it will be on your health card too.)*

Then, depending on this genetic load, he could be counseled or coerced to reproduce only through therapeutic means. *(Alan: Now, it's said so glibly and simply here, but remember, everything he is saying would be another... which is fantastic for lawyers because the law industry is a great industry for folk who want to get... Lots of psychopaths go into law, believe you me. And it's not just chasing ambulances, mind you the psychopath gets someone else to chase it for him. But you'll find that all these things require new levels of law and lawyers that specialize in this area; it's always a booming business for all changes, all changes that come along. So they love this idea of evolution and societal evolution because they always get more and more business and more jobs for themselves. So he says here that...)* Then, depending on this genetic load, he could be counseled or coerced to reproduce only through therapeutic means.

The more radical method of genetic intervention is called "positive eugenics." *(Alan: That's more radical but it's called 'positive,' you see.)* This is a more

ambitious and controversial proposal championed, amongst others, by the late Herman Muller, (*Alan: ...he was at the United Nations.*) who said,

FOR ANY GROUP OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE A RATIONAL ATTITUDE TOWARDS MATTERS OF REPRODUCTION, AND WHO ALSO HAVE A GENUINE SENSE OF THEIR OWN RESPONSIBILITY TO THE NEXT AND SUBSEQUENT GENERATIONS, (*Alan: ...and that's a very telling part, you've got a responsibility, eh...*) THE MEANS EXIST RIGHT NOW OF ACHIEVING A MUCH GREATER, SPEEDIER AND MORE SIGNIFICANT GENETIC IMPROVEMENT OF THE POPULATION, BY THE USE OF A SELECTION, THAT COULD BE EFFECTED BY THE MOST SOPHISTICATED METHODS OF TREATMENT OF THE GENETIC MATERIAL THAT MIGHT BE AVAILABLE IN THE 21ST CENTURY.

(*Alan: Well, we're in it and all this stuff is coming to pass. By the way, this is the century of change, isn't it, where all these hopes and dreams and projects have to be done. That's why they call it the century of change.*)

He proposes by selective breeding not only to eliminate the defects we encounter now by reducing the present genetic load, but also to increase the number of people with "superior" qualities. One way to accomplish this would be to establish sperm (and eventually egg) banks in which the reproductive cells of individuals with exceptional health, intelligence or a special talent could be preserved. (*Alan: They actually have those already by the way, very secret ones.*) These could then be used by people who want to produce children with better endowment that would result from their own genes. Some have objected that people would not willingly agree to substitute the sex cells and characteristics of others for their own.

Muller rejects that and he says that, "THE STULTIFYING ASSUMPTION THAT PEOPLE WOULD HAVE TO BE FORCED RATHER THAN INSPIRED TO ENGAGE IN ANY EFFECTIVE KIND OF GENETIC BETTERMENT." He points out that (*Alan: ...and this is what Muller said...*)

IF THE OPPORTUNITY OF THE GERMINAL CHOICE WERE OPENED, A GRADUALLY INCREASING NUMBER OF SEEMINGLY "NORMAL" COUPLES, IN ADDITION TO A LARGE PROPORTION OF THOSE AFFLICTED WITH SEMINAL INADEQUACY OR OBVIOUS GENETIC DEFECT, WOULD ELECT TO USE THIS MEANS OF HAVING AT LEAST A PART OF THEIR FAMILY. MOREOVER, AS THE SAYING GOES, "NOTHING SUCCEEDS LIKE SUCCESS", AND THE OBVIOUS SUCCESSES ACHIEVED BY THIS METHOD WOULD WITHIN A GENERATION WIN IT STILL MORE ADHERENTS. IT WOULD CONSTITUTE A MAJOR EXTENSION OF HUMAN FREEDOM IN A QUITE NEW DIRECTION.

Now, why was Muller so confident this could be done? and this persuasive technique could be used and successfully? Well, I've already given talks in the past, if you go into cuttingthroughthematrix.com website and go through the archives section. I've given talks in the past, lots of them, about Bernays, for instance; he was only one big player in all of this. But his speciality was how to motivate people to do things that they normally would not do, how to make them

want to do things that they normally would not do. He talked about the creation of consent, and the manufacturing of consent, in the population. They can, eventually, with the proper techniques, psychological persuasion and repetition, persuade the people to actually go along with something that in their better judgment without all the bombastic persuasion they would not go along at all. So the techniques are so perfected today; he knew it could be done. Definitely. And so you get the people to cooperate and go along with it. They have whole chapters in this book too about getting people to cooperate for their own betterment for survival capabilities. OH, WE'RE ALL IN IT TOGETHER... All the usual story, WE'RE ALL IN IT TOGETHER... AND HERE'S YOUR SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY TO THE GREATER GOOD, THE GREATER WHOLE, ETC.

So this author then goes on about appearing to understand your hesitation to swallow all of this, and he says...

But naturally such a program poses a potential threat to our values more fundamentally than does negative eugenics. It opens the door to the frightening abuses of compulsion outlined in Aldous Huxley's **Brave New World**, such as the creation of special classes best fitted to be servants to the others who are rulers. With good reason we might fear the consequences of such a system conducted according to the mad assumption of racists.

And there is the very serious problem of determining which human qualities are desirable, especially for future generations who will live in profoundly different circumstances.

But these sobering considerations will not prevent the introduction of both negative and positive eugenic measures, as both knowledge of techniques and the general demand for genetic improvement increase. In fact, at least in regard to negative eugenics the process is already underway.

In 1965, two thousand Danes were compulsorily sterilized under laws which make that the price for mentally retarded individuals and certain criminals and psychotics to live in the general community. *(Alan: He doesn't touch on Hitler or anything like that, you know. It's interesting. Because that gave eugenics a bad name; it went into the closet for a little while.)*

It is noteworthy that in a country of four and a half million people, this is four times the number similarly sterilized in the United States during the same interval. *(Alan: And that was done mainly by the big boys who created Cold Spring Harbor laboratories in the US and they were funded by the Rockefeller foundation. Amazing the influence the Rockefellers have had in everything to do with the US policy, foreign policy, wars and everything else.)* I am not recommending that we increase our use of sterilization, but that we increase our awareness of the implications for values of both genetic defect and measures for its control now characteristic of smaller countries. Included in such considerations should be the fact that compulsory sterilization is not effective because it only involves those *showing* the defect. *(Alan: ...people who are born and the symptoms are obvious.)* For every defective person detected there are 100 carriers who pass for "normal" and pass on the defective genes.

Well, in other words he's wanting to go to the carriers and kill off the carriers even if they are normal in every respect. That's what he wants, you see. But he wants you to be persuaded to come along to their reasoning and go along voluntarily and get it done, you see. This is the whole thing, the creation of consent, you see. And all these big thing thinks out there working for governments, all these big foundations that run them all, private foundations, which you never elect, all talk about the same things, in the same terms by the way, the creation and the manufacturing of consent. You'll want to do these things, we can persuade you to want to do these things. You see. So they want to go after those who are carrying the particular genes, you see. And by the way, as I say, you already see that, that they're giving... Especially in the States, maybe in Britain too, they're telling parents, before the children are born, this child may be prone to allergies. So all the way down to allergies is becoming regarded as a disease... an allergy, which may or may not appear in the child. So it's a good enough reason to sterilize them? Then sterilize the parent as well because they carry that same gene? They say it so happily and glibly, these psychopaths, don't they? But anyway it says here...

Here we should note that the ancient dread and loathing of mental illness allows its victims to be compulsorily sterilized in the hope of reducing their undesirable traits, even though the genetic nature of such conditions is often dubious. But there is as yet no comparable enthusiasm for compulsory sterilization of suffers from severe inherited physical illness, although its genetic basis is certain. We tend to respond nowadays at least to disease of the body with sympathy instead of irrational fear and hate.

In England, active discussion is going on over an original, if unconventional, project which might be expected to adapt their society to the future with the usual British attention to tradition. It has been proposed that Parliament enact an "aristogenics" bill which would grant to the aristocracy of blood and mind certain eugenical privileges. According to a recent provocative account:

The members of the House of Lords would under this scheme be allowed polygamist marriages, while knights would be asked to provide semen to a bank of superior genotypes. Women (married women, for the time being) will presumably be urged to use the bank by drawing freely on the account of their choice. (*Alan: This was back in the '60s. It's going on all the time, this stuff.*)

To the above measures will soon be added means for selecting sex cells more precisely. For example, it may be possible to create a special vaginal diaphragm which would be capable of filtering out those sperm that bear certain damaged chromosomes or even genes. While such negative eugenic use should create little stir, use of a similar diaphragm which would allow parents to screen sperm so as to determine the sex of the child could lead to problems if it resulted in numerical imbalance. For example, although it is difficult to find published corroboration, several independent authorities have told me (*Alan: ...the writer...*) that just such a situation exists in one small nation. (*Alan: Actually, they have a big one in China today.*) They claim that largely as the result of several wars, the male population of reproductive age is several times smaller than that of the female, that as a consequence women have been forced into desperate and often fruitless competition for men, while men have become an immature, arrogant, and indolent minority that

insists upon being supported by women. Should these circumstances and conclusions be verified, it would seem that marked inequality in numbers of men and women has already played a critical role in inducing drastic social changes in at least one country. *(Alan: He doesn't mention which one it is or even if it's true.)*

All these techniques that depend upon selection are made potentially more powerful by the new method for preserving and storing sex cells mentioned earlier. But modern genetics is moving far beyond these obvious primitive measures.

(Alan: Then he goes into something called...)

"Neo-Eugenics."

Gradually the chemistry of nucleic acid is being mastered, giving us control over the substance of which genes are made and the code in which their messages are written. Within the next few decades, methods will likely be worked out for using computers in conjunction with biochemical data so that the three-dimensional arrangement of the chemical groups making up genes, enzymes, and other proteins will be understood. Then it should be possible to find out how their structure determines their function. *(Alan: Well that's all been done, by the way, since then. He knew all this because he sat in on think tanks and workgroups, and I've no doubt government-sponsored groups too, given these tasks that they had to figure out.)*

The first benefits are likely to be techniques for synthesizing artificial vitamins, hormones, and antibodies, and even for creating artificial photosynthesis so that an expanding population may have a food supply independent of living plant cells. *(Alan: Well what they're actually doing today, as you know, they're trying to force you to believe, again through persuasion and consensus building and so on, that crickets and bugs are good to eat. That's the cheapest way to get you to do it, you see.)*

Eventually the chemist and computers will succeed in transplanting genes, or even creating artificial genes and enzyme systems to repair or replace damaged natural ones in human reproductive cells. Techniques are already available by which the proper substance, once it is available, could be inserted into the sperm or egg to prevent a genetic defect. *(Alan: Well they're already moving genes, as we well know, in sperm and in the ovum and replacing them with other ones too. And they have done lots of experimentations, unbeknownst to the people who go for the treatment, because they follow up the children and their progress throughout life to see if those particular gene substitutions have worked. The parents don't know.)* Eventually, it should be possible by these means to eliminate all genetic defects, including serious conditions such as hemophilia *(Alan: See, they always give you the terrible things first...)* (excessive tendency to bleed) or albinism (total lack of skin pigment). *(Alan: Actually, as you well know, I'm sure through various articles that have come out too, that the government is wanting the perfect citizen, the perfect nature, a compliant person, placid, compliant, very trainable, and obedient. That's really what they're after.)* As these words are written, news reaches me that Dr. Elena Otto Lenghi-

Nightingale has succeeded in making the first successful gene conversion in mammals. She has caused the pigment lacking cells of albino mice to produce melanin pigment by injecting the DNA from a strain of black mice. No sooner is this sentence completed than word comes that Dr. H Gobind Khorana has created the first artificial gene from simple organic chemicals, (*Alan: And you think they're just working on that today, you know, the artificial gene, synthetic gene.*) Dr. James Danielli of the State University of New York at Buffalo reports the "first artificial synthesis" of a living cell, and 20 scientists are meeting at Ames Research Center to discuss the proposal of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to seed the planet Mars with artificially created life forms!

There is also the possibility of producing beneficial, hereditarily transmissible changes. The appendix might be eliminated, or tissues strengthened to prevent hernias or intervertebral disc disease. (*Alan: See, in other words, all this is going to be done for your own good. I'll tell you something, in history, NOTHING has ever been done for the good of the people. Nothing, folks. And when something devious, when they want to build consensus and have you give your consent to it, believe you me, it's nefarious, it's nasty, and they have bad things in mind, believe you me.*) Perhaps it would be good to grow extra sets of teeth or more hair, or to arrange different rhythms for the heartbeat, sleep-wake, and the menstrual cycles. Intelligence, artistic ability, or cooperativeness might be increased. (*Alan: Now, if they gave these abilities to the general population, even increasing intelligence, increasing... And by the way, you cannot really use your intelligence if you're weak or sick, which we're all getting today because we're getting poisoned all the time. See, that's what they really want to do to you all, is poison you and make you sterile. That's working awfully well, if you look at the sperm counts of the male Western youngster today; it's plummeted way, way down there. So they don't plan to make you all happy. It'd be harder to control you, you see, if your intelligence was up there, you felt physically healthy, well and the whole bit, and unstoppable. It would be much, much harder to stop you from actually having your way, as opposed to having them have their way.*)

Or, since the characteristics of every body cell are maintained by its genes throughout its life, eventually it should be possible to change the genetic constitution of a fully-grown individual. No longer would the phrase "it's constitutional" be the signal for despair, for our constitutions would be easier to amend than the by-laws of a Kiwanis Club. An appropriate substance might be introduced to cure any genetic defect present, or to modify any normal characteristics, such as those mentioned above. (*Alan: Now since the guys who are behind this, who worked with this, are the big pharmaceutical companies, the AMA and so on, they do not want anything cured, folks. Always remember the truth of it. Because the whole system of Western medicine is for high profit and treatment of symptoms for life. That's a lot of profit. And they ain't going to put themselves out of business, believe you me, because they like you.*)

Or, for another example, someday a colorblind adult might be treated so that he could perceive color normally. Perhaps a society which is supersensitive about color, and which has learned to welcome this improvement as well as the darkening of an albino, will have learned by then to be equally accepting

if a normally light person should decide to darken his skin, or vice versa. (Alan: *Well, we saw that with Michael Jackson.*)

As our control over gene structure expands, more remote possibilities are raised. We cannot afford, as some have, to scoff at the likelihood of new techniques of gene tampering being used. For as Roland D Hotchkiss of Rockefeller Institute writes: (Alan: *We can trust this guy, right?*)

The pathway will, like that leading to all man's enterprises and mischief, be built from a combination of altruism (Alan: *[Alan laughing.]*), private profit and ignorance In a country where, during every waking moment, one is being told to acquire and enjoy the products of industrial ingenuity, we can well expect that one will be told he owes it to himself to improve his own genes, as well as his neighbor's. (Alan: *And you can see the ads now... oh, you owe it to yourself, go on, do it, just do it.*)

All cells retain in their genes that code for the individual's entire development, from the fertilized egg through all of the differentiation into eye, skin, bone, brain, and so on. So if one could restore to an adult body cell the embryonic non-specialization possessed by its fertilized egg ancestor, it would then be possible for it to develop into an identical copy of any adult cell, tissue, organ, organ system, or body.

(Alan: *Then he goes into an interesting topic. It's called...*)

Hermaphroditism

During embryonic life, each baby normally has the rudiments of the sex organ of both sexes. (Alan: *That's when you're really, really tiny, tiny in the womb.*) One set ordinarily is suppressed in favor of the other and appears in the adult as a small vestige of an undeveloped potentiality. It might be possible, perhaps by modifying genes, to cause those cells in an adult to return to their infantile power, resuming and completing the arrested development. Or maybe it would be easier to arrange that both male and female organs develop in the embryo in the first place. But in either case, the result would be a person who produced both sperm and eggs, a hermaphrodite.

Recent studies of the currently unfortunate group known as "intersexes" have shown that various degrees of hermaphroditism occur spontaneously. Although none is ever capable of producing both sperm and egg, there may be such well-developed fragments of both testes and ovaries, and the other external and internal organs, as to make determination of sex doubtful or even completely erroneous. A child thought to be, and raised as, an ordinary girl may unexpectedly develop at puberty the deep voice, facial hair, and body contours of the male, or vice versa. And a while ago a newscast contained an item about a Canadian researcher who claimed that genetic controls now being developed could lead to the possibility of deliberately creating adult human beings who would have simultaneously the fully functional sexual organs of both male and female.

Now, why would they be interested in doing all of this, eh? I've mentioned this before, folks, actually, that's an ancient goal, actually, even in esoteric groups. In

fact, even if you go into... they talk about Gods and so on. You'll find that Brahma, you know, created all the rest *out of himself*. You'll find the same thing happened if you think about Jehovah, because Jehovah created Adam, but at first it says in Genesis one that *he created man and woman, both he created them. Both man and woman. But then there was nobody to till the soil*, so it's giving you a little hint that there is another class getting born here, so he creates Adam. And then from Adam's compartment - and in Hebrew it says compartment, not rib - he takes out the compartment and makes Eve. *So male and female came from one, but in his same image he made them*. You see. So you get little hints at it there too. Plato goes into it as well, talking about old mythologies as well, where when the gods walked on Mount Olympus, when they came towards you they were men, when they passed you, from the back, they looked like women. And things like that. You see. You find the same thing too with Ra, who *inseminated himself*, as well, and created the goddess. And so on and so on and so on. It's similar stories.

But the esoteric talks go into the perfection of man himself, the balancing of the two hemispheres of the brain, the male and the female. But they're not really male and female at all. One is just to do with pure logic, and no one is pure logic, and the other one is to do with the emotional parts, which also make you human in other words. So both of them together technically complete you, both, but it's not male nor female as they try to make out. Amongst certain circles that tried to use it for their own programs. But he goes on talking about all these different developments too.

By the way, it's amazing how fast this time has just rushed through because I didn't know what I was going to talk about, as usual, tonight. But I was just thinking about the program put out by NASA a while back and they talked about how it might be very difficult to send humans off into space because by the time you got somewhere you'd all either be dead or you'd have to keep retraining new generations, on the long, long voyages, to their destinations. They talked about even having ovum and sperm literally in banks on board ship which would get put together by robotic means and computers towards the end, so they'd get born and raised and then tutored by computers before they had landed, etc. But another thought too, to stop all conflict, mating conflict on board ship, was to create hermaphrodites, you see. So hermaphrodites wouldn't be in as much competition, you see. How can you compete with yourself? You see, go, you know, yourself. But the thing is, these folk could actually do that.

So really there are so many things on the go I've talked about for years but I can't go into them now because it would take weeks to go through some of the topics in fact, to give you the histories behind them and the reasons and so on behind it all. But what I'm trying to tell you is that all these things that I'm talking about ARE IN PLAY TODAY. This was written in the 1960s, this book, **The Human Agenda**. And the agenda was not put together by ordinary people. It's those in academia who have been pulled aside out of academia and raised up to higher standards and levels in private organizations, to deal with running the future. And we're here.

This is the future, the future is here, you see. It's all in play, but they have not finished with us yet. But whenever they come out to say, *we want to help you and eliminate disease...* Forget it. They have given us so much disease, since they gave us inoculations, that it's unbelievable. And now they give you GMO food, which is poisonous in itself, and they give you the food soaked in pesticide for God's sake,

deadly pesticides. So they're not here to help you whatsoever. And these are the same big foundations that own the big international corporations and the big Pharma industries that decide what kind of medicine you're going to get, and what kind of teaching and education the doctors are going to be taught in their universities too. But it's never for the good of the general public, believe you me. If it was for the good of the public eventually they'd put themselves out of business by curing us all of all these awful diseases. And there's just too much profit in disease, you see. It's a control factor.

The whole point of creating an *interdependent* world goes down to the individual, not just nations or areas of the world. It means you must be *totally DEPENDENT* for everything you need to survive, on forces and others outside of yourself. That's why eventually you won't be allowed to grow your own food and so on and so on. That's why you can't opt out and say *I've got my shack, leave me alone*. No, you've got to earn money to pay taxes or they kick you out of that shack you've got. Things like that. You're given no choice at all, and they call this system democracy.

So think for yourselves, people, and think why things are really happening. Don't get caught up in the emotional rush. When you're being led down the garden path by fear and emotional rush, by a preacher or whatever it happens to be, fast talking, fast talking, hype, hype, hype, you are being manipulated, for profit or for something. Believe you me.

Get some stillness within you. Think for yourself. Because that's what survival is all about. Your survival depends on you. And if you have no mind left to survive with, forget it, it's over. If it's full of erroneous ideas and conclusions, it's over already. Think for yourself. That's the greatest enemy that this whole system has.

Remember, what I'm reading tonight comes out of what's called 'organization' and then inter-studies cooperations of sciences, all organized, you see. And they want an organized system, an organized, standardized society. Their enemy is the individual, who can see this and say, *hey, the king has no clothes*. Do you realize we could say that all the time, the king has no clothes... all the things that you're told to believe are normal today, which are not normal, or weren't a few years ago, if you say it, whoa, they'll come down and they'll want to crucify you. Because the politically correct crowd, the ones who are happy to adapt all the time to please their masters, will crucify you. But what you're being told in many instances is to believe that something which is technically insane is now sane. You see. This is called democracy. Democracy does not give you choices at all, definitely not of opinion. The other term they'll use for it is 'liberalism', liberalistic thought. No. It has absolutely no liberalism in it whatsoever for any other opposing or different viewpoint.

So keep in control of your minds, I hope you all can. And I know, too, you're all going through your own minor crises too with unemployment or fear of losing your jobs or marital problems, and all the other things too, which are exaggerated today. Because if you watch television at all you're not going to be happy with your spouse for very long. They're hypersexualizing *everybody*, and they have already done it really to an extent. And they keep showing you better ice cream, you know, better ice cream... *This is even better, better ice cream*... You can't get satisfaction if they keep saying, *well, this is better, you see, do you have this?*...

Think for yourselves. Remember too folks, you can help me tick by here and donate some pennies once in a while. Go into the website at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and also check out the website of mine too, alanwattsentientsentinel.eu. I have other websites as well all listed on each of the two I've mentioned. You got the whole archives sections for free to go through and listen to many, many of the talks that I've given over the years, for free. I don't charge people for the use of it. But it's true enough, people are so used to getting everything for nothing today on the Internet, at least they think it's for nothing, and they don't want to help you out, to even give you more information, and keep you on your path, the path you're supposed to have, which is *your* path, of exploring and finding out and thinking for yourself. Individuality, remember, is the enemy of all of this. It's the enemy of all their organizations.

So from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, where it's been raining like monsoons, because we watch it getting made actually. You can watch it. When they're calling for a storm the next day, you watch it getting made the night before, as all the jets are out, by the world Air Force or whoever is behind it, spraying with chemtrails all over the place and building it up. And sure enough the next day you get the boom, bang, thunder, and the downpours, etc. etc. Other places of course they do a different spray and they get nothing but drought. And again, it's great too because the big agribusiness boys are in on it, because they are deciding now in their futures industry where you're going to get your food from, and they're putting bets on it, what areas are going to go under with flooding, or vegetables are going to rot in the ground because of it, or there's going to be drought. They all work together at the top, you see; it's always been that way. The corrupt always rule the world, through deception. But don't expect this on their mainstream media.

So as I say, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- CDs** Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"